

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the preceding amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

No claims having been added or canceled, the Applicant submits that claims 1-3 and 5-60 remain pending and properly under consideration in this application.

The Applicant submits that support for the amendments to claim 1 may be found in at least the patent drawings and the Specification, pages 3-8, as originally filed. The Applicant further submits that the amendments to claim 1 are intended to provide further clarification of the claimed content management system, particularly with regard to specific functionality that the Applicant contends is sufficient to distinguish over the applied references. Amendments to a number of the dependent claims are provided to improve the correspondence with the elements recited in claim 1 as currently amended.

Interview Summary

Although the Interview Summary mailed July 11, 2007, suggests that the Applicant has not yet satisfied the requirement outlined in MPEP § 713.04 with regard to the submission of an Applicant's Interview Summary, the Applicant respectfully contends the response filed June 26, 2007, was sufficient to fulfill the Applicant's obligations in this regard. The Applicant, therefore, requests that the next communication from the USPTO either acknowledge the adequacy of the Applicant's previous filing or identify with specificity any alleged deficiencies

so that the Applicant is afforded a full and fair opportunity to consider and correct any deficiencies as necessary to comply with MPEP § 713.04.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

Claims 1-2, 5-11 and 13-60 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,181,336 to Chiu et al. (“Chiu”). The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection and maintains that the arguments filed June 26, 2007, which are incorporated herein by reference, in conjunction with the amendments to the claims reflected above, are sufficient to overcome those references which have been applied against the pending claims in any previous Office Action.

The Applicant, therefore, requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn accordingly.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu in view of Nasr et al.’s U.S. Patent 6,263,332 (“Nasr”). The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection and maintains that the arguments filed June 26, 2007, which are incorporated herein by reference, in conjunction with the amendments to the claims reflected above, are sufficient to overcome those references which have been applied against the pending claims in any previous Office Action.

The Applicant, therefore, requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn accordingly.

Claim 12 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu in view of Milstead et al.'s U.S. Patent 6,345,256 ("Milstead"). The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection and maintains that the arguments filed June 26, 2007, which are incorporated herein by reference, in conjunction with the amendments to the claims reflected above, are sufficient to overcome those references which have been applied against the pending claims in any previous Office Action.

The Applicant, therefore, requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn accordingly.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the objections and rejections and allowance of each of claims 1-3 and 5-62 in connection with the present application is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact John A. Castellano at the telephone number indicated below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0750 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C.

By /Gregory P. Brummett/ (Reg. No. 41,646) for
John A. Castellano, Reg. No. 35,094

P.O. Box 8910
Reston, Virginia 20195
(703) 668-8000

JAC/GPB